

Summary of Revisions for MREB 9999 Smith

5.1

Outside Canada should be checked as the study takes place in Bolivia.

The correct box was checked and I provided details on where in Bolivia the interviews will be conducted.

Commented [NC1]: Start with the Question number.

Commented [NC2]: Then add the comment from the MREB.

Commented [NC3]: Finally, include your response in italics, underlining, or highlighting, so it is easy for the Chair to see the response.

10.7.6

Introduce yourself as an MA student in Sociology at McMaster University and that you are conducting the interviews as part of your MA thesis.

Please indicate how long the interview will take.

Made the two above revisions to the verbal script.

Will you always be introduced to potential participants by a community leader? Or should the verbal script have optional language for when you approach people independently?

In these communities, access to potential participants through the community leader is easier and more respectful. I won't be recruiting people on my own.

Commented [NC4]: If making recommended revisions to a document/question based on multiple comments, then only one response statement is needed.

Commented [NC5]: Sometimes the MREB comment is a question asking for further information. The answer can go in the summary, and a revision to the form may not be necessary.

11.1

It is not clear how long interviews will take and whether it is one or two interview sessions with each participant.

Revised 11.1 to address this comment.

Commented [NC6]: If it is a straightforward revision, then you can refer the Chair to the revised question in the form.

16.1

Interviews are in person, it should be possible to obtain written consent for this study.

The participants in this study view signing of forms with suspicion due to past negative experiences with the national government. They are much more comfortable providing verbal consent. Therefore 16.1 was not revised.

Commented [NC7]: There may be a comment that you think should not be implemented in the context of your study. If so, provide the rationale why it is not feasible to make the requested revision.

15.26 and 15.27

This change is not based on a review comment. After talking with the community leaders, I have decided to change our data retention length because the community would like to have the transcripts available longer to write their own community report.

Commented [NC8]: Additional changes made during the revision process, that are not prompted by MREB comments, should be brought to the attention of the Chair.